

Academic Affairs Meeting Minutes
Date: 6/5/2017, Monday 3:30-4:30 PM
Location: Max Merrill Room, Library 221

Present (voting members):

Eddie Johnson (Faculty Forum President)
Stephanie André (Elected by Faculty Forum)
Tim Peterson (Faculty at Large)
Dana Topliff (Elected by Faculty Forum)
Colette Hansen (Classified Representative)

Absent (voting members):

Truman Merrifield (Student representative)
Betsy Julian (VPI)
Jessica Russell (Elected by Faculty Forum)
Brian Bubak (Elected by Faculty Forum)

Present (non-voting members):

Eric Weller (Note taker)
Vickery Viles (Director of Curriculum & Assessment)
Ralph Phillips (ChairMoot Chair Elect)
Deborah Malone (CTE Representative)
Courtney Whetstine (Director of Admissions & Registrar)

Absent (non-voting members):

Jeff Floyd (IT Representative)

Guests:

Jessica Giglio (Elected by Faculty Forum for 17-18)

Minutes: (Note: Approvals and Action items written in red.)

1. Review Minutes from 5/1/17 Meeting

- a. The meeting was open to review the minutes from 5/1/17.
- b. There were no comments made regarding the minutes.
- c. Dana Topliff motioned to approve the minutes. Colette Hansen seconded the motion. The minutes were unanimously approved with no changes.

2. Discussion Only: Review of Curriculum Committee Minutes from 5/2/17; 5/23/17; 5/30/17.

- a. The group reviewed the minutes from the last Curriculum meetings and the following items were discussed:
- b. There will be a vote tomorrow morning to elect next year's Curriculum committee chair.
- c. Updates on Courseleaf:



- i. Courseleaf received business rules from COCC and access to course data from Banner. Changes were made to the forms over the summer.
- ii. Faculty load rules is the biggest issue for Courseleaf. Changed questions on the form.
- iii. COCC requested some changes to the Courseleaf forms. Might have the course form soon and program form in early November.
- iv. The goal is to have Courseleaf available for faculty by early fall 2017.
- d. What is the timeline for class revisions in Courseleaf?
 - i. The Courseleaf workflow was reviewed.
- e. Will Courseleaf change the work of the Curriculum committee drastically?
 - i. At this point, it is difficult to determine the impact.
 - ii. The committee catches many errors manually.
 - iii. A large gain with Courseleaf is that current information will be provided accurately as it will be pulled from the system.
 - iv. There will be a learning curve to adapt to a new curriculum interface.

3. **Update: Grading Policy Taskforce**

- a. The committee was provided the following updates from the Grading Policy Task Force:
 - i. The group will meet again next week, and not again until fall 2017.
 - ii. The survey is now complete and the below updates were communicated:
 - 1. There were 148 responses received and the majority were faculty.
 - 2. 45% do agree to a standard grading scale, 48% do not, the remaining responses showed no opinion. As a result, the decision will be up to the taskforce and the VPI will be sitting in on the taskforce discussions.
 - 3. Survey responses were passed around to the group with responses to the A+/C- grade removed.
 - 4. ASCOCC decided as a group that they did not want to submit a survey as without getting input from all students at COCC.
 - a. It was discussed that Tyler Hayes would be a good follow up contact for the taskforce regarding this.
 - 5. One Administrator responded to the survey.
 - 6. The taskforce is currently unsure on how much student input would be considered "enough" to support the proposal one way or the other.
 - 7. The taskforce reached out to the Student Affairs committee but no response was received.
 - 8. An informal survey done in the Science department indicated that students would like to see a standard grading scale on the COCC website.
- b. It was mentioned that many survey responses were not overly professional and more candid
- c. It was mentioned that faculty may show stronger support if they feel as though their discretion and judgement are being taken into account.
- d. It was also mentioned that it will be important to address the student confusion issues indicated from the survey responses.

4. <u>Update: Faculty Promotion Requirements and Community Service</u>

a. The committee was provided an update regarding the elimination of the criteria of community service for faculty being promoted to Assistant Professor II.



- The Faculty Forum in conjunction with the VPI worked on this proposal.
 Language in GP Manual has been edited.
- ii. It was determined that new faculty should not have the added pressure of this requirement as they have many other things to learn as a new faculty member.
- iii. The proposal to remove this promotion requirement was taken to College Affairs as a consent agenda item.
 - There was confusion at the College Affairs meeting about this being a consent agenda item. As a result, the Shared Governance committee will address how these types of requests should be handled in the future.
 - 2. President Metcalf approved this change without requiring a first and second reading from College Affairs

5. <u>Discussion Only: Academic Affairs end of year report.</u>

- a. Information for the Academic Affairs end of year report was reviewed by the group. The following items were discussed:
 - i. Committee Membership:
 - 1. Faculty Forum representative=Amy Harper (depending on fall schedule)
 - 2. Faculty At-Large=Deborah Malone
 - 3. Faculty Forum (TFR)=Jessica Giglio
 - 4. Faculty Forum (TFR)=Stephanie André
 - 5. Faculty Forum (CTE)=Ken Swartwout
 - 6. Faculty Forum (CTE)=Brian Bubak
 - 7. CTE Council representative=<u>TBD</u>
 - 8. Chairmoot representative=TBD
 - 9. Classified representative=Barbara Bellinger
 - 10. ASCOCC representative=TBD
 - 11. Director Curriculum & Assessment=Vickery Viles
 - 12. IT Representative=Jeff Floyd
 - 13. Vice President for Instruction=Betsy Julian
 - 14. Registrar=Courtney Whetstine
 - ii. 2016-17 Approved Items:
 - 1. Academic Reinstatement Committee
 - 2. Curriculum Committee Charge Updates
 - 3. Course Standards Statement and Outline Policy
 - 4. Curriculum Standards Procedure
 - 5. Promotions Committee Membership
 - 6. Title IX Syllabus Statement
 - iii. Needs/Challenges and Accomplishments discussed by the group:
 - 1. The continued web development was mentioned as an accomplishment.
 - 2. Student representation was a challenge.
 - 3. Lack of clarity on what issues got to specific policy committees is an area for improvement.
 - 4. Updates to the General Procedures Manual were a challenge.
 - 5. Figuring out who is responsible for updating the information in other places (i.e. handbooks, web pages, forms, etc.) is a challenge.



- 6. Broader diversity of faculty was a challenge at the beginning of the year but was improved for next year.
- 7. Closing the loop of signing documents was an improvement.
- 8. Not using Academic Affairs as only an information platform was an accomplishment.
- b. The additional comments discussed will be added to the end of year report.

Eddie Johnson motioned to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at 4:27pm.

Next Meeting: Monday, October 2, 2017 – Max Merrill, Library 221 at 3:30 p.m.