

Academic Affairs Meeting Minutes

Date: 09/24/2018, Monday 3:30-4:30 PM Location: Max Merrill Room, Library 221

Present (voting members): Jessica Giglio (Transfer Faculty) — Chair John Liccardo (Transfer Faculty) Amy Harper (Faculty Forum President) Michael Hansen (Faculty at Large) Betsy Julian (VPI)	Absent (voting members): TBD (ASCOCC representative) Barbara Bellinger (Classified Representative)
Wayne Yeatman (CTE Faculty)	
Ken Swartwout (CTE Faculty)	
Present (non-voting members):	Absent (non-voting members):
Jeff Floyd (IT Representative)	Open (Shared Governance Workgroup)
Jared Forell (Admissions & Registrar Representative)	Open (ChairMoot Representative)
Vickery Viles (Director of Curriculum & Assessment)	Open (CTE Council Representative)
Krista Leaders (Committee Specialist)	
	Guest
	Michael Murphy (Director of E-Learning)

(Note: Approvals and Action items written in red.)

Unfinished Business

1) Review Minutes from 6/11/18 Meeting

- a) The meeting was open to review the minutes from 6/11/18.
 - i) Approved with no changes
- b) Motion to approve the minutes Michael Hansen 1st, Wayne Yeatman 2nd. Motion passed unanimously.

New Business

A. Information Item: Establish norms for Academic Affairs Committee (Jessica)

- a. No distracting devices
- b. Materials will be provided in advance on Tuesday or Wednesday the week prior to the meeting for review by committee members
- c. It is expected committee members and presenters will come to the meeting prepared
- d. The committee will follow Roberts Rules
- e. The committee will follow flowchart of committee process as provided by the Shared Governance Workgroup
- f. It is expected committee members will attend every meeting
- **B.** Committee Chair suggested rescheduling meetings in October to 10/08/18, 10/15/18 (scheduled if necessary), 10/22/18, 10/29/18 (scheduled if necessary). Additional meetings scheduled due to the high number of programs being presented to the committee for review.



C. Information Item: Review Academic Affairs Committee Charge (Jessica)

- a. Reviewed the committee charge
- b. Betsy suggested follow-up on GP Manual revisions to see if they have been implemented. As of a couple of weeks ago, they had been made to the PDF version, but not the online version.

D. Information Item: Review 2017-18 EOY report (Jessica)

a. Committee Chair reviewed the End of Year Report

E. Information Item: Update on new program proposals (Betsy)

- a. The following programs have gone through Stage 1 of the development process.
 Programs going to Stage 2 will be submitted to Academic Affairs for review
- b. Automotive Tech is diverging from the main program to develop a new electric/hybrid program
- c. ECE (Early Childhood Education) wants to develop a new certificate called Equity in Education
- d. NIR (Natural Industrial Resources) is proposing separating Welding from Machining Programs to develop a Career Pathways and AAS certificates in Welding
- e. EMS (Emergency Medical Services) develop a 1-year program to qualify people to receive an EMT certificate not a Paramedic
- f. CIS (Computer Information Systems) Cybersecurity
- g. At the request of St. Charles Medical Center, the college is considering developing a Certified Surgical Technician program to meet the employment needs of St. Charles Medical Center.

F. Information Item: Create LMS review task force (Betsy)

- a. Michael Murphy presented to the committee the current Learning Management System (LMS), Blackboard, has not been reviewed in the last 10 years
- b. Blackboard has had problems on the back end relating to functionality and customer service
- c. Blackboard issues are not unique to COCC. Other colleges are experiencing problems
- d. Cost of Blackboard is \$200,000 per year which is high for a product that is not functioning well
- e. Mobility is not being implemented in Blackboard
- f. Analytics are not integrated in Blackboard, as they are with other products.
- g. Accessibility is improving
- h. Looking for the blessing from Academic Affairs to create a task force to review other products for possible implementation.
- i. 15-18 months is the timeline for review and implementation
- i. Instruction should be considered before IT.
- k. Task force needs to represent a wide range of ability and background in faculty users: CTE, online, hybrid, continuing ed, etc.
- I. The task force ultimately will decide what is the best LMS for COCC



The meeting adjourned at 4:33pm.

Next Meeting: is scheduled for October 8, 3:30 – 4:30, Max Merrill room