CENTRAL OREGON COMMUNITY COLLEGE Faculty Senate Meeting Agenda

| Date: | 11/15/2019 | Facilitator(s): | Sarah |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Time: | 9:30-10:30 am | Notes: | Austin Rieger |
| Place: | MET 208 | Agenda | Sarah |
| | | Maker: | |

| Attendees: | Sarah Fuller | Jane Denison-Furness | Guests: |
|------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | Amy Howell | Amy Harper | Jason Lamb |
| | Mike Artus | Betsy Julian | Josh Evans |
| | Paula Simone | Wayne Yeatman | Rebecca Franklin |
| | Christina Cappy | | |

Notes:

- 1. Call to order, ensured zoom is online for any viewers
- 2. Second reading: PIRT proposal to modify PIP template (Jason Lamb and Josh Evans)
 - a. Reasons for need to modify template
 - i. PIRT receives many in different formats. These often needed to be returned to faculty for modifications
 - ii. Cut unnecessary parts while keeping what really matters
 - iii. Faculty have found the section about relation to the College's mission and goals confusing. Often copy/paste sentences from the mission
 - iv. Faculty have been confused about what constitutes professional improvement
 - b. Removed section on relation to College's mission and goals
 - i. Will add a disclaimer about how PIPs are related to the mission and goals somewhere else. Likely PIRT's charge and webpage. This can be sent to College Affairs for approval
 - ii. Betsy: reason for that to exist is because of accreditation. Shows that investing in our faculty is in order to invest in our students and achieving the College's goals, not for personal enrichment of the faculty
 - iii. Paula: This is also relevant to CHI accredited programs. Important to show that PIP is tied to the mission.
 - c. Overhaul of Blackboard page for PIPs is in progress. Will align with changes for clarity
 - d. Formatting was not too important. What they care about is having the content & titles clear
 - e. Not sure if sabbatical form needs changed based on these changes
 - f. Sarah: call for motion to approve changes to PIP template
 - i. Paula motioned to approve
 - ii. Betsy seconded
 - iii. All voted to approve
 - g. Next steps: ask PIRT to create a statement about how PIPs are tied to the College's mission. Then it will be presented to the Senate for approval and sending to College Affairs to approve changes and update GP manual
- 3. Other PIP issues
 - a. PIRT doesn't see final reports. Should they?
 - b. Chairs see final reports that they may have not heard of before
 - c. Sarah: let's table this and discuss at a later date
- 4. Review of previous meeting notes: all good
- 5. Proposal for Senate sponsorship: "Provide training for PT faculty to help them improve their delivery methods." (Betsy)
 - a. Today is deadline for funding requests related to Strategic Plan activities

- b. Will be submitting request for this activity anyway, but would like sponsorship from Faculty Senate
 - Q: Sarah: Would there be a 2-hour limit? Or can certain groups use more time if needed?
 - A: Multi-day, 8-hour retreats would not be okay. If a certain activity took 3-4 hours, that could be acceptable
- c. Vision for this activity is teaching academy-esque, at the department level
- d. Name of the activity is copy/paste from the Strategic Plan
 - i. The institution is saying that a top priority includes training for PT faculty. If this activity doesn't get approved, Betsy will find another way
- e. Sarah: call for motion to sponsor this proposal
 - i. Christina motioned to approve
 - ii. Paula seconded
 - iii. All voted to approve
- 6. Sarah: how are unfulfilled committee seats filled? Should it be on the Senate or committee chairs?
 - a. Consensus: it should be the responsibility of the committee chairs. The Senate could send a message to our lists, but it should not be our job
 - b. Paula: we find out about gaps too late
 - c. Sarah: let's table this and discuss at a later date
 - d. Confirmation of appointments: Zelda Ziegler to Learning Outcomes Assessment Transfer Committee Opening and Wendy Earthsong to CCDC.
- 7. Future meetings
 - a. Consensus: we should schedule future meetings for 1.5 hours. 1 hour is not enough time as we are not getting through the agendas
 - b. Betsy: we should decide now on our schedule for meetings next year (20-21)
 - i. Everybody will update their calendars so a time slot can be decided
- 8. Adjourned